



RUSSIA AND KAZAKHSTAN

Proxy Voting Guidelines
Benchmark Policy Recommendations

Effective for Meetings on or after February 1, 2023
Published December 13, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	4
1. Operational Items	5
Financial Results/Director and Auditor Reports	5
Appointment of Auditors and Auditor Fees	5
Appointment of Audit Commission Members	5
Early Termination of Powers of the Audit Commission	6
Allocation of Income.....	6
Amendments to Articles of Association.....	6
Change in Company Fiscal Term	6
Transact Other Business	6
2. Board of Directors	7
Director Elections	7
Mechanics of the Cumulative Voting System in Russia and Kazakhstan	7
Non-contested (majority voting) Directors Elections in Kazakhstan	8
Disclosure of Nominee Names	9
Climate Accountability.....	9
ISS Classification of Directors.....	10
Early Termination of Powers of Board of Directors	11
Election of General Director (CEO)	11
Early Termination of Powers of General Director (CEO).....	11
Contested Director Elections	11
Director, Officer, and Auditor Indemnification and Liability Provisions	12
Board Structure	12
3. Capital Structure	13
Share Issuance Requests.....	13
General Issuances	13
Specific Issuances	13
Authorized Capital Increase Requests	13
Reduction of Capital Requests.....	13
Changes to Capital Structures.....	13
Preferred Stock Requests	14
Debt Issuance Requests.....	14
Pledging of Assets for Debt.....	14
Share Repurchase Plans.....	14
Capitalization of Reserves for Bonus Issues/Increase in Par Value	15
4. Compensation	15
Non-Executive Director Compensation	15
Equity-Based Compensation Guidelines.....	16
5. Other Items	16
Reorganizations/Restructurings	16
Mergers and Acquisitions	16

Mandatory Takeover Bid Waivers	17
Expansion of Business Activities	17
Related-Party Transactions.....	17
Antitakeover Mechanisms	17
Shareholder Proposals.....	18
Social and Environmental Issues.....	18
Global Approach	18
Say on Climate (SoC) Management Proposals.....	18
Say on Climate (SoC) Shareholder Proposals.....	19

Introduction

The Law on Joint Stock Companies (JSC Law) is the main law regulating the activities of joint stock companies in Russia. Of particular importance are also the Federal Law on the Securities Market, which regulates the issuance and circulation of securities and the Listing Rules of the Moscow Exchange (latest update effective from 21 February 2013).

On 1 September 2013, the powers of the Federal Financial Markets Service (FFMS), Russia's securities commission, in the field of regulation, control and supervision in the financial markets were transferred to the Bank of Russia. The regulatory, supervisory, and oversight functions of the Bank of Russia in the field of financial markets will be fulfilled by the Financial Supervision Committee.

The Law on Joint Stock Companies (JSC Law) dated May 13, 2003, is the main law regulating the activities of joint stock companies in Kazakhstan.

The Committee for the Control and Supervision of the Financial Market and Financial Organizations of the National Bank of Kazakhstan, established in 2011, is the main regulator of the Kazakhstan's capital market. The Committee performs the functions of state control and supervision of activities of banks, insurance companies, pension and investment funds, and securities market entities, as well as protection of rights of consumers of financial services.

Legal and regulatory requirements are typically incorporated into the company's charter, which is the main document covering the governance of the company, and the internal regulations. Issuers in Russia and Kazakhstan have multiple internal documents stipulating the rules and procedures for the governing bodies of the company.

At the typical Russian AGM, shareholders will be asked to approve the following:

- Annual report and financial statements
- Allocation of Income, including payment of dividends
- Auditors' appointment
- Election of directors
- Election of audit commission members
- Related-party transactions and large-scale transactions
- Amendments to the Charter and other internal regulations
- Approval of director fees
- Approval of remuneration policy for non-executive directors.

This document outlines the ISS policy on the above resolutions. For proposals which typically appear on an infrequent basis at Russian and Kazakh meetings, and which are not covered in this document, ISS will refer to the EMEA Regional Policy as a framework for analysis.

1. Operational Items

Financial Results/Director and Auditor Reports

General Recommendation: Vote for approval of financial statements and director and auditor reports, unless:

- The financial statements and/or auditor's report are not disclosed or are incomplete
- There are concerns about the accounts presented or audit procedures used; or
- The company is not responsive to shareholder questions about specific items that should be publicly disclosed.

Appointment of Auditors and Auditor Fees

General Recommendation: Vote for the (re)election of auditors and/or proposals authorizing the board to fix auditor fees, unless:

- There are serious concerns about the procedures used by the auditor;
- There is reason to believe that the auditor has rendered an opinion which is neither accurate nor indicative of the company's financial position;
- External auditors have previously served the company in an executive capacity or can otherwise be considered affiliated with the company;
- The name of the proposed auditors has not been published; or
- The auditors are being changed without explanation.

For widely-held companies, vote against the authorization of auditor fees, or against the election of auditors if the authorization of auditor fees is not presented as a separate item, if:

- Non-audit fees exceed audit-related fees (or any stricter limit under local law or best practice); or
- Audit fees are not disclosed.

In circumstances where fees for non-audit services include fees related to significant one-time capital (re)structure events, such as, but not limited to: initial public offerings, bankruptcy emergence, and spinoffs; and the company provides public disclosure of the amount and nature of those fees which are an exception to the standard "non-audit fee" category, such fees may be excluded from the non-audit fees considered in determining the ratio of non-audit to audit fees.

Appointment of Audit Commission Members

General Recommendation: Vote for the election of the audit commission members where the number of nominees is equal to the number of seats on the audit commission unless:

- Adequate disclosure, including the nominees' names, has not been provided in a timely manner;
- There are serious concerns about the work and/or the composition of the audit commission;
- There are serious concerns about the statutory reports presented or the audit procedures used;
- There are serious concerns over questionable finances or restatements.

Where the number of nominees exceeds the number of seats on the audit commission, vote on a case-by-case basis considering the following factors:

- Nominees' independence and potential conflicts of interest;
- Nominees' qualifications, experience, and past track records;
- Current composition of audit commission.

Early Termination of Powers of the Audit Commission

General Recommendation: ISS will recommend a vote for the early termination of powers of the audit commission unless there are any concerns with this proposal.

Allocation of Income

General Recommendation: Vote for approval of the allocation of income, unless:

- The dividend payout ratio has been consistently below 30 percent without adequate explanation; or
- The payout is excessive given the company's financial position.

Amendments to Articles of Association

General Recommendation: Vote amendments to the articles of association on a case-by-case basis.

Change in Company Fiscal Term

General Recommendation: Vote for resolutions to change a company's fiscal term unless a company's motivation for the change is to postpone its AGM.

Transact Other Business

General Recommendation: Vote against other business when it appears as a voting item.

2. Board of Directors

Director Elections

Mechanics of the Cumulative Voting System in Russia and Kazakhstan

Under a cumulative voting system, each share represents a number of votes equal to the size of the board that will be elected [i.e. if the board is composed of 10 directors, each company share will represent 10 votes]. These votes may be apportioned equally among the candidates or, if a shareholder wishes to exclude some nominees, among the desired candidates that remain.

It is important to recognize that in the context of director elections by cumulative voting, shareholders do not vote against any nominee, but rather support some of the nominees. This is an important distinction, as in some cases, shareholders may choose to support not all but rather a limited number of nominees.

If a company has a status of an International Company¹ re-domiciliated to Russia and chooses to follow the regulation of a country from which it has re-domiciliated, director elections might follow rules different from cumulative voting.

General Recommendation: Where the number of candidates is equal to the number of board seats, vote for all independent director nominees (per ISS' classification of directors).

Where the number of candidates exceeds the number of board seats, vote for all or a limited number of the independent director nominees (per ISS' classification of directors) considering factors including, but not limited to, the following:

- Past composition of the board, including proportion of the independent directors vis-a-vis the size of the board;
- Nominee(s) qualification, knowledge, and experience;
- Attendance record of the director nominees;
- Company's free float.

Where none of the director nominees can be classified as independent (per ISS' Classification of Directors), ISS will consider factors including, but not limited to, the following when deciding whether to recommend in favour of a candidate's (re)election:

- A director nominee, while not classified as independent per ISS' classification of directors, has been classified as independent per company's director classification criteria and/or any other directors classification criteria widely used in the market;
- A director nominee possesses adequate qualification, knowledge and experience;
- There are no specific concerns about the individual, such as criminal wrongdoing or breach of fiduciary responsibilities.

ISS may consider not supporting the election of an individual director in case:

- Adequate disclosure has not been provided in a timely manner;
- A director nominee has been involved in questionable transactions with conflicts of interest;

¹ As defined by the Federal Law N290-FZ "On International Companies and International Funds".

- A director nominee has breached fiduciary duties or engaged in willful misconduct or gross negligence in his/her capacity as a director that raise substantial doubt about his or her ability to effectively oversee management and serve the best interests of shareholders at any company;
- There are any records of abuses against minority shareholder interests;
- Material failures of governance, stewardship, risk oversight (including, but not limited to, environmental, social, and climate change issues), or fiduciary responsibilities at the company.

At companies on the main index, ISS may recommend against all nominees, if none of the proposed candidates can be classified as independent non-executive directors (per ISS' *Classification of Directors*).

Vote on a case-by-case basis for contested elections of directors, e.g. the election of shareholder nominees or the dismissal of incumbent directors, determining which directors may be best suited to add value for shareholders.

For the companies that have a status of an International Company¹ re-domiciliated to Russia and choose to follow the regulation of a country from which they have re-domiciliated, vote in accordance with the ISS Policy Guidelines applicable to the company prior to its re-domiciliation.

Non-contested (majority voting) Directors Elections in Kazakhstan²

General Recommendation: ISS will generally recommend against the election or reelection of non-independent directors (as per ISS' *Classification of Directors*) (excluding the CEO) if overall board independence is less than one-third.

Vote against management/shareholder nominee/s in the election of directors if:

- Adequate disclosure has not been provided in a timely manner;
- There are clear concerns over questionable finances or restatements;
- There have been questionable transactions with conflicts of interest;
- There are any records of abuses against minority shareholder interests;
- The board fails to meet minimum corporate governance standards.

Vote against individual nominee/s if:

- There are specific concerns about the individual, such as criminal wrongdoing or breach of fiduciary responsibilities; or
- Repeated absences at board and committee meetings (less than 75 percent attendance) have not been explained (if this information is disclosed).

For the shareholder nominee also the underlying rationale for the proposal is considered.

² As stipulated in the Law on Joint-Stock Companies of the Republic of Kazakhstan N-415, majority voting may be used as an exception to the general rule of cumulative voting for director's elections when the number of candidates to the board is equal to the number of the seats.

Disclosure of Nominee Names

General Recommendation: ISS will recommend a vote against the election of directors at all companies if the names of the nominees are not disclosed in a timely manner prior to the meeting.

ISS will recommend a vote against the election of directors at all companies if the names of the nominees are not disclosed in a timely manner prior to the meeting.

Climate Accountability

General Recommendation: For companies that are significant greenhouse gas (GHG) emitters, through their operations or value chain³, generally vote against the board chair in cases where ISS determines that the company is not taking the minimum steps needed to understand, assess, and mitigate risks related to climate change to the company and the larger economy.

In cases when the Chair of the Board is an independent director, generally vote against appropriate director(s), considering, among other things, independence, tenure and/or composition of board committees.

Minimum steps to understand and mitigate those risks are considered to be the following. Both minimum criteria will be required to be in alignment with the policy:

- Detailed disclosure of climate-related risks, such as according to the framework established by the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), including:
 - Board governance measures;
 - Corporate strategy;
 - Risk management analyses; and
 - Metrics and targets.
- Appropriate GHG emissions reduction targets.

At this time, “appropriate GHG emissions reductions targets” will be medium-term GHG reduction targets or Net Zero-by-2050 GHG reduction targets for a company's operations (Scope 1) and electricity use (Scope 2). Targets should cover the vast majority of the company's direct emissions.

³ Companies defined as “significant GHG emitters” will be those on the current Climate Action 100+ Focus Group list.

ISS Classification of Directors

Executive Director

- Employee or executive of the company;
- Any director who is classified as a non-executive, but receives salary, fees, bonus, and/or other benefits that are in line with the highest-paid executives of the company.

Non-Independent Non-Executive Director (NED)

- Any director who is attested by both the board and nominating shareholder(s) to be a non-independent NED. In case the nominating shareholders' classification is not disclosed, any director, who is attested by the board to be a non-independent NED;
- Any director specifically designated as a representative of or who is considered related to a significant shareholder of the company;
- Any director who is also an employee or executive of a significant shareholder^[1] of the company;
- Any director who is nominated by a significant shareholder, unless there is a clear lack of material^[6] connection with the shareholder, either currently or historically;
- Beneficial owner (direct or indirect) of at least 10 percent of the company's stock, either in economic terms or in voting rights (this may be aggregated if voting power is distributed among more than one member of a defined group, e.g., family members who beneficially own less than 10 percent individually, but collectively own more than 10 percent), unless market best practice dictates a lower ownership and/or disclosure threshold (and in other special market-specific circumstances);
- Government representative;
- Currently provides (or a relative^[2] provides) professional services^[3] to the company, to an affiliate of the company, or to an individual officer of the company or of one of its affiliates in excess of \$10,000 per year;
- Represents customer, supplier, creditor, banker, or other entity with which company maintains transactional/commercial relationship (unless company discloses information to apply a materiality test^[4]);
- Any director who has conflicting or cross-directorships with executive directors or the chair of the company;
- Relative^[1] of a current or former executive of the company or its affiliates;
- A new appointee elected other than by a formal process through the General Meeting (such as a contractual appointment by a substantial shareholder);
- Founder/co-founder/member of founding family but not currently an employee;
- Former executive (five-year cooling off period);
- Excessive years of service from date of first appointment, as determined by local corporate governance codes^[5], or local best practice, is generally a determining factor in evaluating director independence.
- Any additional relationship or principle considered to compromise independence under local corporate governance best practice guidance.

Independent NED

- No material^[6] connection, either directly or indirectly, to the company (other than the board seat) or to a significant shareholder.
- In case of discrepancies between the classifications of a director provided by the board of directors of the company and by the nominating shareholder(s), a case-by-case analysis of independence is made based on publicly available evidence.

Footnotes

^[1] In Russia, a significant shareholder is defined as a shareholder controlling directly or indirectly 5 percent or more of the voting rights.

^[2] "Relative" follows the definition of "immediate family members" which covers spouses, parents, children, stepparents, stepchildren, siblings, in-laws, and any person (other than a tenant or employee) sharing the household of any director, nominee for director, executive officer, or significant shareholder of the company.

^[3] Professional services can be characterized as advisory in nature and generally include the following: investment banking/financial advisory services; commercial banking (beyond deposit services); investment services; insurance services; accounting/audit services;

consulting services; marketing services; and legal services. The case of participation in a banking syndicate by a non-lead bank should be considered a transaction (and hence subject to the associated materiality test) rather than a professional relationship.

^[4] A business relationship may be material if the transaction value (of all outstanding transactions) entered into between the company and the company or organization with which the director is associated is equivalent to either 1 percent of the company's turnover or 1 percent of the turnover of the company or organization with which the director is associated. OR, A business relationship may be material if the transaction value (of all outstanding financing operations) entered into between the company and the company or organization with which the director is associated is more than 10 percent of the company's shareholder equity or the transaction value, (of all outstanding financing operations), compared to the company's total assets, is more than 5 percent. OR, A business relationship may be material if it is considered that it may be of significance the director.

^[5] For example, the definition of independence in the Russian Corporate Governance Code (2014) provides that in order to remain independent, a non-executive director shall have served on the board of directors [supervisory board] for no more than seven years.

^[6] For purposes of ISS' director independence classification, "material" will be defined as a standard of relationship financial, personal or otherwise that a reasonable person might conclude could potentially influence one's objectivity in the boardroom in a manner that would have a meaningful impact on an individual's ability to satisfy requisite fiduciary standards on behalf of shareholders.

Early Termination of Powers of Board of Directors

General Recommendation: ISS will recommend a vote for the early termination of powers of the board of directors where such a proposal is supported by compelling justification.

ISS will recommend a vote against proposals seeking to alter the composition of the board and resulting in majority shareholder increasing its influence on the board.

Election of General Director (CEO)

General Recommendation: Generally vote for the election of the general director, unless there are significant concerns with the proposed candidate and/or compelling controversies with the election process exist.

Early Termination of Powers of General Director (CEO)

General Recommendation: ISS will recommend a vote for the early termination of powers of the general director where such a proposal is supported by compelling justification.

ISS will recommend a vote against proposals to terminate the powers of the general director if such proposals are not supported by compelling rationale.

Contested Director Elections

General Recommendation: For contested elections of directors, e.g. the election of shareholder nominees or the dismissal of incumbent directors, ISS will make its recommendation on a case-by-case basis, determining which directors may be best suited to add value for shareholders.

The analysis will generally be based on, but not limited to, the following major decision factors:

- Company performance relative to its peers;
- Strategy of the incumbents versus the dissidents;
- Independence of directors/nominees;
- Experience and skills of board candidates;
- Governance profile of the company;
- Evidence of management entrenchment;
- Responsiveness to shareholders;
- Whether a takeover offer has been rebuffed;
- Whether minority or majority representation is being sought.

When analyzing a contested election of directors, ISS will generally focus on two central questions: (1) Have the dissidents proved that board change is warranted? And (2) if so, are the dissident board nominees likely to effect positive change (i.e., maximize long-term shareholder value).

Director, Officer, and Auditor Indemnification and Liability Provisions

General Recommendation: Vote proposals seeking indemnification and liability protection for directors and officers on a case-by-case basis.

Vote against proposals to indemnify external auditors.

Board Structure

General Recommendation: Vote for proposals to fix board size unless such a proposal will result in change of the size or structure of the board that will have a negative impact for minority shareholders.

Vote against the introduction of classified boards and mandatory retirement ages for directors.

Vote against proposals to alter board structure or size in the context of a fight for control of the company or the board.

3. Capital Structure

Share Issuance Requests

General Issuances

General Recommendation: Vote for issuance requests with preemptive rights to a maximum of 100 percent of currently issued capital.

Vote for issuance requests without preemptive rights to a maximum of 20 percent of currently issued capital.

Specific Issuances

General Recommendation: Vote on a case-by-case basis on all requests, with or without preemptive rights.

Authorized Capital Increase Requests

General Recommendation: Vote for non-specific proposals to increase authorized capital up to 100 percent of the current authorization unless the increase would leave the company with less than 30 percent of its new authorization outstanding.

Vote for specific proposals to increase authorized capital to any amount, unless:

- The specific purpose of the increase (such as a share-based acquisition or merger) does not meet ISS guidelines for the purpose being proposed; or
- The increase would leave the company with less than 30 percent of its new authorization outstanding after adjusting for all proposed issuances.

Vote against proposals to adopt unlimited capital authorizations.

Reduction of Capital Requests

General Recommendation: Vote for proposals to reduce capital for routine accounting purposes unless the terms are unfavourable to shareholders.

Vote proposals to reduce capital in connection with corporate restructuring on a case-by-case basis.

Changes to Capital Structures

General Recommendation: Vote for resolutions that seek to maintain or convert to a one-share, one-vote capital structure.

Vote against requests for the creation or continuation of dual-class capital structures or the creation of new or additional super voting shares.

Preferred Stock Requests

General Recommendation: Vote for the creation of a new class of preferred stock or for issuances of preferred stock up to 50 percent of issued capital unless the terms of the preferred stock would adversely affect the rights of existing shareholders.

Vote for the creation/issuance of convertible preferred stock as long as the maximum number of common shares that could be issued upon conversion meets ISS guidelines on equity issuance requests.

Vote against the creation of a new class of preference shares that would carry superior voting rights to the common shares.

Vote against the creation of blank check preferred stock unless the board clearly states that the authorization will not be used to thwart a takeover bid.

Vote proposals to increase blank check preferred authorizations on a case-by-case basis.

Debt Issuance Requests

General Recommendation: Vote non-convertible debt issuance requests on a case-by-case basis, with or without preemptive rights.

Vote for the creation/issuance of convertible debt instruments as long as the maximum number of common shares that could be issued upon conversion meets ISS guidelines on equity issuance requests.

Vote for proposals to restructure existing debt arrangements unless the terms of the restructuring would adversely affect the rights of shareholders.

Pledging of Assets for Debt

General Recommendation: Vote proposals to approve the pledging of assets for debt on a case-by-case basis.

Share Repurchase Plans

General Recommendation: Generally vote for market repurchase authorities (share repurchase programs) if the terms comply with the following criteria:

- A repurchase limit of up to 10 percent of outstanding issued share capital;

- A holding limit of up to 10 percent of a company's issued share capital in treasury ("on the shelf"); and
- A duration of no more than five years, or such lower threshold as may be set by applicable law, regulation, or code of governance best practice.

Authorities to repurchase shares in excess of the 10 percent repurchase limit will be assessed on a case-by-case basis. ISS may support such share repurchase authorities under special circumstances, which are required to be publicly disclosed by the company, provided that, on balance, the proposal is in shareholders' interests. In such cases, the authority must comply with the following criteria:

- A holding limit of up to 10 percent of a company's issued share capital in treasury ("on the shelf"); and
- A duration of no more than 18 months.

In addition, ISS will recommend against any proposal where:

- The repurchase can be used for takeover defenses;
- There is clear evidence of abuse or risk of abuse;
- There is no safeguard against selective buybacks; and/or
- Pricing provisions and safeguards are deemed to be unreasonable in light of market practice.

Capitalization of Reserves for Bonus Issues/Increase in Par Value

General Recommendation: Vote for requests to capitalize reserves for bonus issues of shares or to increase par value.

4. Compensation

Non-Executive Director Compensation

General Recommendation: ISS will generally recommend a vote for proposals to award cash fees to non-executive directors, but will recommend a vote against where:

- Documents (including general meeting documents, annual report) provided prior to the general meeting do not disclose the fees paid to non-executive directors.
- Proposed amounts are excessive relative to other companies in the country or industry.
- The company intends to increase the fees excessively in comparison with market/sector practices, without stating compelling reasons that justify the increase.
- Proposals provide for the granting of stock options, or similarly performance-based compensation, to non-executive directors.
- Proposals introduce retirement benefits for non-executive directors.

And recommend a vote on a case-by-case basis where:

- Proposals include both cash and share-based components to non-executive directors.
- Proposals bundle compensation for both non-executive and executive directors into a single resolution.

Equity-Based Compensation Guidelines

General Recommendation: ISS will generally recommend a vote for equity-based compensation proposals for employees if the plan(s) are in line with long-term shareholder interests and align the award with shareholder value. This assessment includes, but is not limited to, the following factors:

- The volume of awards transferred to participants must not be excessive;
- The potential volume of fully diluted issued share capital from equity-based compensation plans must not exceed the following ISS guidelines:
- The shares reserved for all share plans may not exceed 5 percent of a company's issued share capital, except in the case of high-growth companies or particularly well-designed plans, in which case we allow dilution of between 5 and 10 percent: in this case, we will need to have performance conditions attached to the plans which should be acceptable under ISS criteria (challenging criteria)*;
- The plan(s) must be sufficiently long-term in nature/structure: the minimum vesting period must be no less than three years from date of grant;
- The awards must be granted at market price. Discounts, if any, must be mitigated by performance criteria or other features that justify such discount;
- If applicable, performance standards must be fully disclosed, quantified, and long-term, with relative performance measures preferred.

5. Other Items

Reorganizations/Restructurings

General Recommendation: Vote reorganizations and restructurings on a case-by-case basis.

Mergers and Acquisitions

General Recommendation: Vote case-by-case on mergers and acquisitions taking into account all relevant available information.

For every M&A analysis, ISS reviews publicly available information as of the date of the report and evaluates the merits and drawbacks of the proposed transaction, balancing various and sometimes countervailing factors including:

- Valuation - Is the value to be received by the target shareholders (or paid by the acquirer) reasonable? While the fairness opinion may provide an initial starting point for assessing valuation reasonableness, ISS places emphasis on the offer premium, market reaction, and strategic rationale.
- Market reaction - How has the market responded to the proposed deal? A negative market reaction will cause ISS to scrutinize a deal more closely.
- Strategic rationale - Does the deal make sense strategically? From where is the value derived? Cost and revenue synergies should not be overly aggressive or optimistic, but reasonably achievable. Management should also have a favorable track record of successful integration of historical acquisitions.
- Conflicts of interest - Are insiders benefiting from the transaction disproportionately and inappropriately as compared to non-insider shareholders? ISS will consider whether any special interests may have influenced these directors and officers to support or recommend the merger.

- Governance - Will the combined company have a better or worse governance profile than the current governance profiles of the respective parties to the transaction? If the governance profile is to change for the worse, the burden is on the company to prove that other issues (such as valuation) outweigh any deterioration in governance.

Vote against if the companies do not provide sufficient information to make an informed voting decision.

Mandatory Takeover Bid Waivers

General Recommendation: Vote proposals to waive mandatory takeover bid requirements on a case-by-case basis.

Expansion of Business Activities

General Recommendation: Vote resolutions to expand business activities on a case-by-case basis.

Related-Party Transactions

General Recommendation: In evaluating resolutions that seek shareholder approval of related-party transactions (RPTs), vote on a case-by-case basis, considering factors including, but not limited to, the following:

- The parties on either side of the transaction;
- The nature of the asset transferred/service provided;
- The pricing of the transaction (and any associated professional valuation);
- The views of independent directors (where provided);
- The views of an independent financial adviser (where appointed);
- Whether any entities party to the transaction (including advisers) are conflicted; and
- The stated rationale for the transaction, including discussions of timing.

If there is a transaction that ISS deemed problematic and that was not put to a shareholder vote, ISS may recommend against the election of the director involved in the related-party transaction or the full board.

Antitakeover Mechanisms

General Recommendation: Generally vote against all antitakeover proposals, unless they are structured in such a way that they give shareholders the ultimate decision on any proposal or offer.

Shareholder Proposals

General Recommendation: Vote all shareholder proposals on a case-by-case basis.

Vote for proposals that would improve the company's corporate governance or business profile at a reasonable cost.

Vote against proposals that limit the company's business activities or capabilities or result in significant cost being incurred with little or no benefit.

Social and Environmental Issues

Global Approach

ISS applies a common approach globally to evaluating social and environmental proposals which cover a wide range of topics, including consumer and product safety, environment and energy, labor standards and human rights, workplace and board diversity, and corporate political issues. While a variety of factors goes into each analysis, the overall principle guiding all vote recommendations focuses on how the proposal may enhance or protect shareholder value in either the short or long term.

General Recommendation: Generally vote case-by-case, examining primarily whether implementation of the proposal is likely to enhance or protect shareholder value. The following factors will be considered:

- If the issues presented in the proposal are being appropriately or effectively dealt with through legislation or government regulation;
- If the company has already responded in an appropriate and sufficient manner to the issue(s) raised in the proposal;
- Whether the proposal's request is unduly burdensome (scope or timeframe) or overly prescriptive;
- The company's approach compared with any industry standard practices for addressing the issue(s) raised by the proposal;
- Whether there are significant controversies, fines, penalties, or litigation associated with the company's practices related to the issue(s) raised in the proposal;
- If the proposal requests increased disclosure or greater transparency, whether reasonable and sufficient information is currently available to shareholders from the company or from other publicly available sources; and
- If the proposal requests increased disclosure or greater transparency, whether implementation would reveal proprietary or confidential information that could place the company at a competitive disadvantage.

Say on Climate (SoC) Management Proposals

General Recommendation: Vote case-by-case on management proposals that request shareholders to approve the company's climate transition action plan⁴, taking into account the completeness and rigor of the plan. Information that will be considered where available includes the following:

⁴ Variations of this request also include climate transition related ambitions, or commitment to reporting on the implementation of a climate plan.

- The extent to which the company's climate related disclosures are in line with TCFD recommendations and meet other market standards;
- Disclosure of its operational and supply chain GHG emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3);
- The completeness and rigor of company's short-, medium-, and long-term targets for reducing operational and supply chain GHG emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3 if relevant);
- Whether the company has sought and approved third-party approval that its targets are science-based;
- Whether the company has made a commitment to be "net zero" for operational and supply chain emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3) by 2050;
- Whether the company discloses a commitment to report on the implementation of its plan in subsequent years;
- Whether the company's climate data has received third-party assurance;
- Disclosure of how the company's lobbying activities and its capital expenditures align with company strategy;
- Whether there are specific industry decarbonization challenges; and
- The company's related commitment, disclosure, and performance compared to its industry peers.

Say on Climate (SoC) Shareholder Proposals

General Recommendation: Vote case-by-case on shareholder proposals that request the company to disclose a report providing its GHG emissions levels and reduction targets and/or its upcoming/approved climate transition action plan and provide shareholders the opportunity to express approval or disapproval of its GHG emissions reduction plan, taking into account information such as the following:

- The completeness and rigor of the company's climate-related disclosure;
- The company's actual GHG emissions performance;
- Whether the company has been the subject of recent, significant violations, fines, litigation, or controversy related to its GHG emissions; and
- Whether the proposal's request is unduly burdensome (scope or timeframe) or overly prescriptive.

We empower investors and companies to build for long-term and sustainable growth by providing high-quality data, analytics, and insight.

GET STARTED WITH ISS SOLUTIONS

Email sales@issgovernance.com or visit www.issgovernance.com for more information.

Founded in 1985, Institutional Shareholder Services group of companies (ISS) empowers investors and companies to build for long-term and sustainable growth by providing high-quality data, analytics and insight. ISS, which is majority owned by Deutsche Bourse Group, along with Genstar Capital and ISS management, is a leading provider of corporate governance and responsible investment solutions, market intelligence, fund services, and events and editorial content for institutional investors and corporations, globally. ISS' 2,600 employees operate worldwide across 29 global locations in 15 countries. Its approximately 3,400 clients include many of the world's leading institutional investors who rely on ISS' objective and impartial offerings, as well as public companies focused on ESG and governance risk mitigation as a shareholder value enhancing measure. Clients rely on ISS' expertise to help them make informed investment decisions. This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, and charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS), its subsidiaries, or, in some cases third party suppliers.

The Information has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), or a promotion or recommendation of, any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy, and ISS does not endorse, approve, or otherwise express any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information.

ISS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY, AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by law, in no event shall ISS have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits), or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited.

© 2022 | Institutional Shareholder Services and/or its affiliates